

University of Pittsburgh School of Law
Scholarship@PITT LAW

Cases

Roz Litman Archive

4-8-1994

A.E.V., Inc. V Com., Liquor Control Bd.

Westlaw

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.pitt.edu/roz-litman-cases>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

641 A.2d 30

This Case Brief is brought to you for free and open access by the Roz Litman Archive at Scholarship@PITT LAW. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cases by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@PITT LAW. For more information, please contact leers@pitt.edu, shephard@pitt.edu.

163 Pa.Cmwlth. 292
Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania.

A.E.V., INC., a Pennsylvania corporation;
Busy Bee Beverage Co., Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation; **Theodoratos Quality Imports, Inc.**, a Pennsylvania corporation, Case Beer and Soda Outlet, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation; **Case Beer Outlet, Inc.**, a Pennsylvania corporation; **Beer and Pop Warehouse, Inc.**, Petitioners,

v.

COMMONWEALTH of Pennsylvania, LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of State Police, Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement; Office of Administrative Law Judge of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board; Glenn A. Walp, State Police Commissioner; James A. Goodman, Chairman Liquor Control Board; Gerald E. Ruth, Chief Judge, Office of Administrative Law Judge of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board; William A. Mericle, Director, Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement, Respondents.

Argued Feb. 28, 1994.

|

Decided April 8, 1994.

Synopsis

Holders of distributor's licenses filed petition seeking writ of prohibition restraining administrative law judge from proceeding on citations issued by Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement (BLCE) and declaratory and injunctive relief regarding charges brought against licensees. The Commonwealth Court, No. 341 M.D. 1993, Newman, J., held that once BLCE withdrew citations against holders of distributor's licenses, there was no longer matter in controversy and licensees' petition for review would be dismissed absent support in record for claim that BLCE would bring citations regarding similar charges in future.

Petition dismissed.

West Headnotes (2)

[1] **Alcoholic Beverages** ↗ Mootness

23H Alcoholic Beverages
23HII Control and Regulation
23HII(J) Proceedings Concerning Control and Regulation
23HII(J)6 Judicial Review
23Hk647 Decisions Reviewable
23Hk651 Mootness
(Formerly 223k108.10(3) Intoxicating Liquors)

Once Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement (BLCE) withdrew citations against holders of distributor's licenses, there was no longer matter in controversy and licensees' petition for review would be dismissed absent support in record for claim that BLCE would bring citations regarding similar charges in future. **47 P.S. § 4–471** (1986).

[2] **Pleading** ↗ Insufficiency of facts to constitute cause of action

302 Pleading
302V Demurrer or Exception
302k193 Grounds for Demurrer to Declaration, Complaint, Petition, or Statement
302k193(5) Insufficiency of facts to constitute cause of action

A court, when ruling on preliminary objections in nature of demurrer, may sustain preliminary objections and dismiss complaint only in cases which are clear and free from doubt that law will not permit recovery by plaintiff.

[1 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

All Citations

163 Pa.Cmwlth. 292, 641 A.2d 30