

University of Pittsburgh School of Law  
**Scholarship@PITT LAW**

---

Cases

Roz Litman Archive

---

3-21-1995

**Anderson v. Pittsburgh Press Co.**

Westlaw

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.pitt.edu/roz-litman-cases>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

**Recommended Citation**

1995 WL 134665

This Case Brief is brought to you for free and open access by the Roz Litman Archive at Scholarship@PITT LAW. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cases by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@PITT LAW. For more information, please contact [leers@pitt.edu](mailto:leers@pitt.edu), [shephard@pitt.edu](mailto:shephard@pitt.edu).

880 F.Supp. 407  
United States District Court,  
W.D. Pennsylvania.

Michael G. ANDERSON, Robert Bianco, Mary Pat Flaherty, Lamont Jones, Jr., Louis J. Laurenzi, Steve Mellon, and All Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs,  
v.  
PITTSBURGH PRESS COMPANY, Pittsburgh Press Dismissal and Death Benefits Plan, and Scripps Howard, Inc., Defendants.

Civ. A. No. 93-937.

|

March 21, 1995.

### Synopsis

Former employees brought action against employer and its dismissal and death benefits plan seeking dismissal and severance benefits under Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) and vacation pay and bonuses under Pennsylvania wage payment and collection law. Employees filed motion for summary judgment. The District Court, Ambrose, J., held that: (1) material issue of fact as to whether employees could receive both benefits from employer's death and dismissal benefits program and a pension precluded summary judgment for former employees; (2) former employees "left" employer's employ, as required by terms of vacation payment on termination provision of employee handbook; (3) former employees were entitled to vacation pay and bonuses from employer; and (4) material issue as to whether employer in good faith contested to or disputed former employees' wage claim precluded summary judgment for employees on issue of liquidated damages under Pennsylvania wage payment and collection law.

Motion granted in part and denied in part.

West Headnotes (16)

[1] **Federal Civil Procedure** Lack of Cause of Action or Defense  
170A Federal Civil Procedure

170AXVII Judgment  
170AXVII(C) Summary Judgment  
170AXVII(C) In General  
170Ak2465 Matters Affecting Right to Judgment  
170Ak2466 Lack of Cause of Action or Defense  
Summary judgment is mandated, after adequate time for discovery and upon motion, against party who fails to make showing sufficient to establish the existence of an element essential to that party's case, and on which that party will bear the burden of proof at trial. [Fed.Rules Civ.Proc.Rule 56, 28 U.S.C.A.](#)

[2] **Federal Civil Procedure** Presumptions

170A Federal Civil Procedure  
170AXVII Judgment  
170AXVII(C) Summary Judgment  
170AXVII(C) Proceedings  
170Ak2542 Evidence  
170Ak2543 Presumptions  
In considering motion for summary judgment, district court must examine facts in light most favorable to party opposing the motion. [Fed.Rules Civ.Proc.Rule 56, 28 U.S.C.A.](#)

[3] **Federal Civil Procedure** Burden of Proof

170A Federal Civil Procedure  
170AXVII Judgment  
170AXVII(C) Summary Judgment  
170AXVII(C) Proceedings  
170Ak2542 Evidence  
170Ak2544 Burden of Proof  
Burden is on party moving for summary judgment to demonstrate that evidence creates no genuine issue of material fact. [Fed.Rules Civ.Proc.Rule 56, 28 U.S.C.A.](#)

[4] **Federal Civil Procedure** Materiality and Genuineness of Fact Issue

170A Federal Civil Procedure  
170AXVII Judgment  
170AXVII(C) Summary Judgment  
170AXVII(C) In General  
170Ak2465 Matters Affecting Right to Judgment

**170Ak2470.1** Materiality and Genuineness of Fact Issue

Dispute is genuine, for summary judgment purposes, if evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for nonmoving party. **Fed.Rules Civ.Proc.Rule 56, 28 U.S.C.A.**

**[5] Federal Civil Procedure**  **Materiality and Genuineness of Fact Issue**

**170A** Federal Civil Procedure

**170AXVII** Judgment

**170AXVII(C)** Summary Judgment

**170AXVII(C)1** In General

**170Ak2465** Matters Affecting Right to Judgment

**170Ak2470.1** Materiality and Genuineness of Fact Issue

Fact is material, for purposes of summary judgment, when it might affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law. **Fed.Rules Civ.Proc.Rule 56, 28 U.S.C.A.**

**[6] Federal Civil Procedure**  **Weight and Sufficiency**

**170A** Federal Civil Procedure

**170AXVII** Judgment

**170AXVII(C)** Summary Judgment

**170AXVII(C)3** Proceedings

**170Ak2542** Evidence

**170Ak2546** Weight and Sufficiency

Where party opposing summary judgment bears burden of proof at trial, party moving for summary judgment may meet its burden by showing that the evidentiary materials of record, if reduced to admissible evidence, would be insufficient to carry nonmovant's burden of proof at trial. **Fed.Rules Civ.Proc.Rule 56, 28 U.S.C.A.**

**[7] Labor and Employment**  **Interpretation of Plan**

**231H** Labor and Employment

**231HVII** Pension and Benefit Plans

**231HVII(B)** Plans in General

**231Hk437** Interpretation of Plan

**231Hk438** In General

(Formerly 296k26)

Initially, when court is faced with dispute concerning interpretation of an ERISA severance plan, court must determine, as a question of law, whether terms at issue are ambiguous; in deciding whether document is clear or ambiguous, court does not simply determine whether, from its point of view, the words are ambiguous. Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, § 2 et seq.,  **29 U.S.C.A.** § 1001 et seq.

**[8] Contracts**  **Existence of Ambiguity**

**95** Contracts

**95II** Construction and Operation

**95II(A)** General Rules of Construction

**95k143** Application to Contracts in General

**95k143(2)** Existence of Ambiguity

Document may be found ambiguous if it is reasonably or fairly susceptible of different constructions, is capable of being understood in more senses than one, and is obscure in meaning through indefiniteness of expression or has a double meaning.

**[9] Contracts**  **Existence of Ambiguity**

**95** Contracts

**95II** Construction and Operation

**95II(A)** General Rules of Construction

**95k143** Application to Contracts in General

**95k143(2)** Existence of Ambiguity

Contract is not ambiguous if court can determine its meaning without any guide other than a knowledge of the simple facts on which, from the nature of the language in general, its meaning depends.

**[10] Contracts**  **Existence of Ambiguity**

**95** Contracts

**95II** Construction and Operation

**95II(A)** General Rules of Construction

**95k143** Application to Contracts in General

**95k143(2)** Existence of Ambiguity

Fact that parties do not agree on the proper construction of terms does not render a document ambiguous.

dismissal and severance benefits under ERISA. Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, § 2 et seq.,  29 U.S.C.A. § 1001 et seq.

**[11] Contracts**  Ambiguity in General

[95 Contracts](#)  
[95II Construction and Operation](#)  
[95II\(A\) General Rules of Construction](#)  
[95k176 Questions for Jury](#)  
[95k176\(2\) Ambiguity in General](#)

If court determines the terms of contract at issue are ambiguous, then interpretation is a question of fact.

**[12] Labor and Employment**  Interpretation of Plan

[231H Labor and Employment](#)  
[231HVII Pension and Benefit Plans](#)  
[231HVII\(B\) Plans in General](#)  
[231Hk437 Interpretation of Plan](#)  
[231Hk438 In General](#)

(Formerly 296k26)

Employer's most recent benefits booklet, providing that in no case would both pension and dismissal and death benefits be paid, was ambiguous regarding whether employee could receive benefits from death and dismissal benefits program and a pension.

**[14] Labor and Employment**  Vacation or Personal Holiday Pay

[231H Labor and Employment](#)  
[231HIV Compensation and Benefits](#)  
[231HIV\(A\) In General](#)  
[231Hk180 Vacation or Personal Holiday Pay](#)

(Formerly 255k72 Master and Servant)

Former employees "left" employer's employ, as required by terms of vacation payment on termination provision of employee handbook, although employees were employed by company after it purchased employer's assets, where it was undisputed that employees were no longer employed by employer.

**[15] Labor and Employment**  Bonus

**Labor and Employment**  Vacation or Personal Holiday Pay

[231H Labor and Employment](#)  
[231HIV Compensation and Benefits](#)  
[231HIV\(A\) In General](#)  
[231Hk175 Bonus](#)

(Formerly 255k72 Master and Servant)

[231H Labor and Employment](#)  
[231HIV Compensation and Benefits](#)  
[231HIV\(A\) In General](#)  
[231Hk180 Vacation or Personal Holiday Pay](#)

(Formerly 255k72 Master and Servant)

Former employees were entitled to vacation pay and bonuses from employer; employees were employed by company after it purchased employer's assets, asset exchange agreement between employer and company, providing that company agreed to indemnify and hold harmless the employer \* \* \* from any liability for vacation pay for any of employer's employees did not create an assumption of liability for vacation benefits but an assumption of responsibility to indemnify employer for any liability employer could incur for vacation pay for employer's

**[13] Federal Civil Procedure**  Employees and Employment Discrimination, Actions Involving

[170A Federal Civil Procedure](#)  
[170AXVII Judgment](#)  
[170AXVII\(C\) Summary Judgment](#)  
[170AXVII\(C\)2 Particular Cases](#)  
[170Ak2497 Employees and Employment Discrimination, Actions Involving](#)  
[170Ak2497.1 In General](#)

Material issue of fact as to whether employees could receive benefits from employer's death and dismissal benefits program and a pension precluded summary judgment for former employees in their action against employer and its dismissal and death benefits plan seeking

employees, vacation time awarded by company failed to compensate employees for vacation and bonuses owing from employer, and employer had obligation to pay vacation benefits and bonuses earned while employees were in employer's employ.

**170Ak2497.1 In General**

Material issue of fact as to whether employer in good faith contested or disputed former employees' wage claim precluded summary judgment for employees on issue of liquidated damages under Pennsylvania wage payment and collection law.  43 P.S. § 260.10.

[1 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**[16] Federal Civil Procedure ↗ Employees and Employment Discrimination, Actions Involving**

[170A Federal Civil Procedure](#)

[170AXVII Judgment](#)

[170AXVII\(C\) Summary Judgment](#)

[170AXVII\(C\)2 Particular Cases](#)

[170Ak2497 Employees and Employment Discrimination, Actions Involving](#)

**All Citations**

[880 F.Supp. 407](#)

---

End of Document